

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

SAMUEL D. STRICKLAND,  
Plaintiff

V.

No. 08-1570

MAHONING TOWNSHIP, MAHONING  
TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT,  
FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP, CARBON  
COUNTY, OFFICER AUDIE M. MERTZ  
POLICE CHIEF MARK ZENKO,  
BRUCE L. KEIPER, CHARLES R.  
EIDEM, DAWN L. BLOCKER,  
PATRICIA SNYDER, GEORGE  
STAWNYCZYJ, OFFICER FRANK  
BUONAIUTO, ROD GREEN, LARRY  
SMITH, PAUL KOCHER, and RALPH  
and JESSICA FAHRINGER,  
Defendants

## Jury Trial Demanded

**STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF DEFENDANTS FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP AND FRANK BUONAIUTO**

Defendants, Franklin Township and Frank Buonaiuto, through undersigned counsel and pursuant to L.R. 56.1, aver that the following facts are not in dispute and may be considered by the court in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment:

1. Plaintiff is an African-American male and filed a civil rights complaint on August 22, 2008 against a number of defendants including Franklin Township and Frank Buonaiuto. (Plaintiff's Complaint, (Doc. 1) at ¶ 1).
2. Plaintiff did not read the complaint in full detail before he signed it. (Strickland Deposition, Exhibit 1, pp. 63-64).
3. Plaintiff's complaint is not completely accurate. (Strickland Deposition, pp. 103-104).

4. Although Plaintiff alleged excessive force in the complaint, Plaintiff is not making a claim for excessive force. Plaintiff was not physically handled in inappropriate way. (Strickland Deposition, p. 104, lines 15-16, p. 105, lines 2-5).

5. Plaintiff never read any written policies from Franklin Township. (Strickland Deposition, p. 106, lines 22-24).

6. Plaintiff never read the policies from Franklin Township and does not know what is contained in those policies. (Strickland Deposition, p. 108, lines 7-13).

7. Plaintiff does not know which police officer put him in handcuffs. (Strickland Deposition, p. 92, lines 5-8).

8. Plaintiff does not know which police department's vehicle he was placed in when he was moved from the vehicle in which he was a passenger. (Strickland Deposition, p. 92, lines 9-14).

9. Plaintiff did not read his complaint to make sure that everything was truthful. (Strickland Deposition, p. 64, lines 13-21).

10. Plaintiff was under the influence of alcohol at the time of the incident, having consumed a six-pack of 16-ounce cans of Olde English [malt liquor] prior to traveling to Carbon County. (Doc. 1., ¶¶ 28, 41, Strickland Deposition, p. 96, lines 6-22).

11. Plaintiff was arrested by Mahoning Township Police on November 18, 2009 and charged with the following crimes:

- 1) False reports to law enforcement officers pursuant to 18 P.S. §4906;
- 2) False identification to law enforcement officers pursuant to 18 P.S. §4914; and
- 3) Criminal trespass pursuant to 18 P.S. §3503. (Strickland Criminal Docket, Exhibit 2).

12. On February 1, 2007 Strickland plead guilty to the offense of “False Identification to Law Enforcement Officer” a misdemeanor of the third degree. (See Exhibit 2).

13. Strickland did not challenge his guilty plea or seek to withdraw his guilty plea. (See Exhibit 2).

14. Plaintiff gave the false name “Michael Andrews” to Officer Buonaiuto because plaintiff felt there was no need to cooperate with the police. (Doc. 1, ¶41).

15. Plaintiff understands it is illegal to give a false name to a police officer. (Strickland Deposition, p. 101, lines 21-25).

16. Regarding plaintiff’s allegations of a lack training of Officer Buonaiuto, it is merely plaintiff’s personal opinion there could be a lack of training. Plaintiff does not know the level of Officer Buonaiuto’s training. Plaintiff has no information about how any of the officers on the scene were trained. (Strickland Deposition, p. 109, lines 19-25, p. 110, lines 1-10, p 73, lines 14-20).

**SIANA, BELLWOAR & McANDREW, LLP**

By: /s/ Christopher P. Gerber  
Christopher P. Gerber, Esquire, ID# 76449  
Matthew R. Kline, Esquire, ID# 86922  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Franklin Township, Frank Buonaiuto

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

SAMUEL D. STRICKLAND,	:	
Plaintiff	:	
	:	
v.	:	No. 08-1570
	:	
MAHONING TOWNSHIP, MAHONING	:	
TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT,	:	
FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP, CARBON	:	
COUNTY, OFFICER AUDIE M. MERTZ	:	
POLICE CHIEF MARK ZENKO,	:	
BRUCE L. KEIPER, CHARLES R.	:	
EIDEM, DAWN L. BLOCKER,	:	
PATRICIA SNYDER, GEORGE	:	
STAWNYCZYJ, OFFICER FRANK	:	
BUONAIUTO, ROD GREEN, LARRY	:	
SMITH, PAUL KOCHER, and RALPH	:	
and JESSICA FAHRINGER,	:	Jury Trial Demanded
Defendants	:	

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned counsel hereby certifies that on this day a true and correct copy of the Statement of Facts in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment of Defendants Franklin Township and Frank Buonaiuto was served electronically and/or by first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

Samuel D. Strickland  
227 North 15<sup>th</sup> Street  
Allentown, PA 18102

Joseph Golberg, Esquire  
Wendi D. Barish, Esquire  
Weber, Gallagher, Simpson, Stapleton,  
Fires & Newby, LLP  
2000 Market Street, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Gerard J. Geiger, Esquire  
Newman, Williams, Mishkin, Corveleyn,  
Wolfe & Fareri  
712 Monroe Street  
Stroudsburg, PA 18360

Ralph and Jessica Fahringer  
418 Fritz Drive  
Lehighton, PA 18235

**SIANA, BELLWOAR & McANDREW, LLP**

Date: 11/24/09

By: /s/ Christopher P. Gerber  
Christopher P. Gerber, Esquire, ID# 76449  
Matthew R. Kline, Esquire, ID# 86922  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Franklin Township, Officer Frank Buonaiuto

# EXHIBIT 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SAMUEL D. STRICKLAND

-VS-

3:08-CV-01570

MAHONING TOWNSHIP, MAHONING  
TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT,  
FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP, CARBON  
COUNTY, OFFICER AUDIE M.  
MERTZ, POLICE CHIEF MARK  
ZENKO, BRUCE L. KEIPER,  
CHARLES R. EIDEM, DAWN L.  
BLOCKER, PATRICIA SNYDER,  
GEORGE STAWNYCZYJ, OFFICER  
FRANK BUONAIUTO, ROD GREEN,  
LARRY SMITH, PAUL KOCHER and  
RALPH AND JESSICA FAHRINGER

## DEPOSITION OF SAMUEL D. STRICKLAND

taken on behalf of Defendant Carbon County, at the law offices of Newman, Willliams, Mishkin, Corveleyn, Wolfe & Fareri, P.C., 712 Monroe Street, Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, on Monday, October 5, 2009, beginning at 1:26 p.m., before Josephine Hollman, Court Reporter and Notary Public.

COPY

**PANKO REPORTING**  
537 Sarah Street, 2nd Floor  
Stroudsburg, PA 18360  
(570) 421-3620

APPEARANCES:

SAMUEL D. STRICKLAND, Pro Se  
227 North 15th Street  
Allentown, PA 18102

WEBER, GALLAGHER, SIMPSON,  
STAPLETON, FIRES & NEWBY, LLP  
by WENDI D. BARISH, ESQUIRE  
2000 Market Street, Suite 1300  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
For Defendants Mahoning Township,  
et al.

NEWMAN, WILLIAMS, MISHKIN,  
CORVELEYN, WOLFE & FARERI, P.C.  
by GERARD J. GEIGER, ESQUIRE  
and  
by ROBERT J. KIDWELL, ESQUIRE  
712 Monroe Street  
P.O. Box 511  
Stroudsburg, PA 18360-0511  
For Defendant Carbon County

SIANA, BELLWOAR & McANDREW, LLP  
by MATTHEW R. KLINE, ESQUIRE  
Ludwigs Corner Professional Center  
941 Pottstown Pike, Suite 200  
Chester Springs, PA 19425  
For Defendant  
Officer Frank Buonaiuto

---



Samuel Strickland

63

1 Couldn't answer that.

2 MR. GEIGER: All right. I'm  
3 going to turn the questioning over to whichever one  
4 of you wants to go next.

5 MS. BARISH: I'll go.

6 BY MS. BARISH:

7 Q. Mr. Strickland, I represent Mahoning Township  
8 and Officers Mertz and Chief Zenko, they're named  
9 defendants.

10 A. Officer who?

11 Q. Officer Mertz.

12 A. And?

13 Q. Chief Zenko. They're two of the people that  
14 you have --

15 MS. BARISH: Do you have that  
16 complaint next to you?

17 MALE VOICE: Yeah.

18 BY MS. BARISH:

19 Q. I'm just going to show you the complaint that  
20 you filed.

21 Is this your signature that's on -- it's not a  
22 numbered page, but at the end of the complaint,  
23 almost the last page, is that your signature?

24 A. Yes, it is.

25 Q. Have you seen this complaint before you signed

Samuel Strickland

64

1 it?

2 A. I probably briefed through it. I didn't read  
3 it in full detail.

4 Q. Okay. How did you come to see this complaint?

5 A. Mr. Anthony brought it to my attention.

6 Q. Do you remember, were you in his office at the  
7 time?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. What did he say to you when he gave you  
10 the complaint?

11 A. I have some things for you to sign so I can  
12 get this out basically is what he said.

13 Q. Did he ask you to make sure that the  
14 information in the complaint was truthful?

15 A. Meaning?

16 Q. Did he ask you to read it and make sure that  
17 everything was truthful in here and --

18 A. Not really, he just said I have some things  
19 for to you sign. I was basically trusting him  
20 that, you know what I'm saying, that what he was  
21 writing was correct.

22 Q. Well, did you have a meeting with him before  
23 then to explain to him? Like how would he get the  
24 information that was in here?

25 A. Well, he -- we sat down, he asked me some

Samuel Strickland

103

1 Q. Okay. I mean, isn't that -- I'm sorry. I  
2 don't want to cut you off.

3 A. Go ahead.

4 Q. Isn't that more like a plea bargain, you're  
5 being forced -- you're cutting a deal saying I'll  
6 enter a guilty plea to one charge so the rest of it  
7 gets thrown out and I don't go to jail? You know,  
8 you tell me if I'm wrong. Isn't that the way it  
9 works?

10 A. Man, I don't know. I really don't know how it  
11 works.

12 Q. Okay. Ms. Barish asked you a little bit about  
13 this, this thing was drafted -- this complaint,  
14 excuse me, was drafted by Tom Anthony?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. And you said you read some of it before it was  
17 filed?

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. Can we agree --

20 A. Briefly.

21 Q. I'm sorry?

22 A. Briefly.

23 Q. Briefly. Okay.

24 Can we agree as we sit here today that there  
25 are things in here that aren't accurate?

Samuel Strickland

104

1 A. Well, I -- it's possible. It's possible. I  
2 wouldn't doubt it. It's possible, you know. But  
3 for the most part it's -- I would imagine that  
4 they're accurate for the most part. I mean, I  
5 wouldn't say everything is 100 percent solid. I'm  
6 quite sure there's a few flaws and discrepancies in  
7 it. Not exactly accurate, but for the most part it  
8 is I would think. I would like to think so.

9 Q. Mr. Strickland, there are references in the  
10 complaint to excessive force. And from what you  
11 told us today in answers to your questions --

12 A. Excess force?

13 Q. Excessive force. I'm not hearing that there  
14 was any force used at all.

15 A. I have no complaint about excessive force. I  
16 was not physically handled in any --

17 Q. We can agree no one shoved you, punched you,  
18 kicked you --

19 A. Exactly. Nah, nah.

20 Q. Can we agree to that?

21 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

22 Q. You think that's maybe language thrown in by  
23 Mr. Anthony?

24 A. I would imagine so, sir. Not to try to make  
25 light or nothing but I would imagine so.

Samuel Strickland

105

1 Q. Okay.

2 A. I'm not trying to put myself in a situation  
3 where it causes problems for myself, you know. But  
4 I know I wasn't physically handled in any  
5 inappropriate way if that's what you're asking me.

6 Q. That is what I'm asking.

7 A. No, sir, I was not. No, sir, I was not.

8 Q. I think you were asked some of these questions  
9 before, I just want to clear this up now.

10 You don't know what's in the policies of  
11 Mahoning Township or Franklin Township? You don't  
12 know what's in their written policies, correct?

13 A. I don't even understand what you're saying as  
14 far as written policies. In reference to what,  
15 sir?

16 Q. Let me back up. Oftentimes police departments  
17 operate with a policy or a procedure manual or  
18 standard operating procedures. They have books of  
19 guidelines, of rules.

20 A. No, I wouldn't know. I wouldn't know, sir.

21 Q. Okay. And, again, there's language in the  
22 complaint that talks about the policies and  
23 practices of the townships.

24 I assume you didn't read any of those policies  
25 or practices, is that correct?

Samuel Strickland

106

1 Okay. You didn't see those prior to the  
2 drafting of this complaint?

3 A. No, sir. If I did I didn't fully understand  
4 it. I mean, I -- nah, I wouldn't think I did.

5 Q. Can we agree then that you don't have any  
6 specific complaint regarding the policies or  
7 procedures of Franklin Township? Can we agree,  
8 since you don't know what they are?

9 A. Can I get back to you with that one? Can I  
10 answer that one at a later date?

11 MS. BARISH: Not really.

12 BY MR. KLINE:

13 Q. When you're at a deposition you pretty much --  
14 you have to answer the question, sir.

15 MR. BARISH: If you don't  
16 understand the question he can rephrase it for you  
17 though.

18 BY MR. KLINE:

19 Q. All right. Sure.

20 A. Please.

21 Q. I'll take this step by step.

22 You never read any written policies from  
23 Franklin Township, correct?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. From that testimony you just gave that you

Samuel Strickland

108

1 would be acting under their guidelines.

2 Q. Okay. Yeah, I don't think we're disagreeing  
3 on that point. Officers, generally, in certain  
4 townships, have rules and guidelines they have to  
5 follow.

6 A. Right. Right.

7 Q. We're not here to argue about that. But what  
8 I'm asking you is, you can't sit here and say those  
9 policies are racist or those policies are improper,  
10 even if we don't say racist, are improper, you  
11 never read them, you don't even know what's in the  
12 policies, correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. I'm glad we had this discussion. That's where  
17 I was going.

18 Okay. Similar question regarding a lack of  
19 training. You don't know how Officer Buonaiuto was  
20 trained or not trained, correct?

21 A. I wasn't there when he went through his  
22 training if that's what you're asking me. I mean,  
23 I wasn't there.

24 Q. I understand you weren't there when he went  
25 through his training. You make a reference in your

Samuel Strickland

92

1 BY MR. KLINE:

2 Q. You need to say yes or no. Even though I  
3 might know what you mean, she needs a verbal  
4 response. I forget that instruction was given.

5 Okay. So can I take it from that testimony  
6 you don't know which officer put handcuffs on you,  
7 is that correct?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. And you don't know which car you were put into  
10 when you were taken out of the SUV; meaning was it  
11 a Mahoning car or Franklin car, some kind of Carbon  
12 County car, another car?

13 A. No. I don't know exactly which car it was, no  
14 I don't.

15 Q. You don't know which officer -- I think you  
16 said it was one -- which officer drove you from  
17 that point to the police station or to the  
18 magistrate office, whatever came next? You don't  
19 know which officer drove you?

20 A. No, sir, I don't.

21 Q. Do you know which jurisdiction brought  
22 charges? Meaning, was it Mahoning Township, was it  
23 Carbon County, was it Franklin Township?

24 A. Brought charges against me?

25 Q. Yeah. Do you know who ultimately charged you?



Samuel Strickland

96

1 been over this already -- the other attorney, that  
2 name you can't recall, correct?

3 A. Exactly.

4 (Discussion off the record.)

5 BY MR. KLINE:

6 Q. Now, earlier you told us you drank a six pack  
7 probably that day, before you went out to Carbon  
8 County?

9 A. Uh-huh.

10 Q. Does that sound right?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. Do you remember what that was, whether it was  
13 Miller Light, Yuengling Lager?

14 A. It's Olde English.

15 Q. I'm sorry?

16 A. Olde English.

17 Q. Olde English?

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. And are we talking about a six pack of --

20 A. 16 ounce cans.

21 Q. You read my mind. All right, six pack of 16  
22 ounce cans. Okay.

23 So as we sit here today, you're not aware of  
24 any of racist remarks by Officer Buonaiuto at the  
25 scene of the accident or afterwards?

Samuel Strickland

101

1 Q. Okay. I appreciate that.

2 "On February 1, 2007, in order to resolve the  
3 situation the plaintiff was forced to enter a plea  
4 of guilty to false identification to law  
5 enforcement officers even though there was never  
6 any legal cause to arrest the plaintiff in the  
7 first place and he did not do anything illegal at  
8 all on the day in question..."

9 I'm going to stop right there. It does  
10 continue and I could read the rest of it. I just  
11 want to stop right there for a second.

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. Now, what's your understanding of giving a  
14 false name to a police officer? Do you think  
15 that's illegal?

16 A. Prior to me being put in the back of that car?  
17 Prior to me being put in the back of the police car  
18 I did not do nothing illegal. That's where that's  
19 going.

20 Q. Okay. Now, let's pick it up from that point.

21 Do you think it's illegal if a cop -- an  
22 officer, excuse me -- says what's your name and you  
23 say Michael Andrews instead of Craig Strickland, do  
24 you think that's illegal?

25 A. Yeah, I think it is, but there's situations

Samuel Strickland

109

1 complaint to a lack of training regarding Mertz and  
2 Buonaiuto.

3 You don't know if there's a lack of training  
4 for those guys, isn't that correct?

5 A. That's a question that can go one way or the  
6 other because I might not know what -- my  
7 statements are made upon interacting with them, you  
8 know what I mean? So, in other words, by their  
9 actions comes my reaction, do you understand what  
10 I'm saying?

11 Q. I think so. I don't want to cut you off. Are  
12 you done with your answer?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. I just want to ask a follow-up question.

15 Now, I understand earlier you told me, you  
16 know, that your personal opinion about the way this  
17 entire incident occurred.

18 A. Uh-huh. Yes, sir.

19 Q. You gave your personal opinion. Now, what I'm  
20 asking you now is you interacted with certain  
21 officers that day, and is it your personal opinion,  
22 based on that interaction, that there could be a  
23 lack of training versus you don't know whether  
24 there was or was not?

25 A. My personal opinion, yes, there could be a

Samuel Strickland

110

1 lack of training.

2 Q. It's your opinion that there could be a lack  
3 of training?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. So what's in the complaint regarding lack of  
6 training is not based on your knowledge of how much  
7 training occurred, what the specific --

8 A. Oh, I don't know exactly how much training  
9 they had or how much training they have lack of,  
10 no, I don't.

11 (Brief interruption to allow  
12 court reporter's change of paper.)

13 BY MR. KLINE:

14 Q. Now, Mr. Strickland, I think this was covered  
15 before by Ms. Barish, but in terms of the actual  
16 events as they went down that are referenced in the  
17 complaint, this stuff is based upon not your  
18 personal knowledge but information maybe from James  
19 Rose or from Craig Strickland? For example,  
20 Fahringer yelled to Rose, quote, just put the dogs  
21 in the driveway and get the F, I'll say, off my  
22 property.

23 A. I didn't witness none of this, sir.

24 Q. Okay. Paragraph 31, subparagraph F, less than  
25 20 seconds after Rose got off the phone with the

Samuel Strickland

73

1 were able to observe?

2 A. Yes, ma'am.

3 Q. Okay. Did you ever see Mr. Craig Strickland's  
4 complaint?

5 A. Did I ever see it?

6 Q. Uh-huh.

7 A. In regards to what?

8 Q. Did you ever read it? He has filed his own  
9 complaint similar to your complaint. Did you ever  
10 read his?

11 A. Not that I remember.

12 Q. Did you ever read Mr. Rose's?

13 A. Not that I remember.

14 Q. Okay. Do you know anything or have any  
15 information about how any of the officers on the  
16 scene were trained to be police officers? Because  
17 there's some criticism here of the way that they  
18 were trained, and I'm wondering if you have any  
19 information about how they were trained?

20 A. No, I don't.

21 Q. Okay. So when you signed this complaint, was  
22 it based on your trust that Mr. Anthony was  
23 providing accurate information as you related to  
24 him and as --

25 A. Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am.

## EXHIBIT 2

## COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CARBON COUNTY

## DOCKET



Docket Number: CP-13-CR-0000098-2007

## CRIMINAL DOCKET

M3 Disposed by Lower Court

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

v.

Samuel D. Strickland

Page 1 of 4

## CASE INFORMATION

Judge Assigned: Nanovic, Roger N.Date Filed: 02/01/2007Initiation Date: 02/01/2007OTN: K5310922Lower Court Docket No: CR-0000324-06Initial Issuing Authority: Edward M. LewisFinal Issuing Authority: Edward M. LewisArresting Agency: Mahoning Twp Police DeptArresting Officer: Mertz, Audie M.Case Local Number Type(s)Case Local Number(s)

## STATUS INFORMATION

Case Status: ClosedStatus Date:

02/01/2007

Processing Status:

Completed

Arrest Date:

11/18/2006

## CONFINEMENT INFORMATION

Confinement  
Known As OfConfinement  
TypeDestination  
LocationConfinement  
ReasonStill in  
Custody

## DEFENDANT INFORMATION

Date Of Birth:

09/01/1960

City/State/Zip:

Philadelphia, PA 19132

Alias Name

Strickland, Samuel

Strickland, Samuel D. Jr

Strickland, Samuel Jr

## CASE PARTICIPANTS

Participant TypeName

Defendant

Strickland, Samuel D.

## BAIL INFORMATION

Strickland, Samuel D.

Nebbia Status: None

Bail ActionDateBail TypePercentageAmountBail Posting StatusPosting Date

Set

11/18/2006

Monetary

\$1,000.00

Posted

11/20/2006



**COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CARBON COUNTY****DOCKET**

Docket Number: CP-13-CR-0000098-2007

**CRIMINAL DOCKET**

M3 Disposed by Lower Court

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

v.

Samuel D. Strickland

Page 2 of 4

**CHARGES**

<u>Seq.</u>	<u>Orig Seq.</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Statute</u>	<u>Statute Description</u>	<u>Offense Date</u>	<u>OTN</u>
1	1	M2	18 § 4906 §\$A	False Report - Falsely Incriminate Another	11/18/2006	K5310922
2	2	M3	18 § 4914 §\$A	False Identification To Law Enf. Off.	11/18/2006	K5310922
3	3	S	18 § 3503 §\$B1I	Def Tres Actual Communication To	11/18/2006	K5310922

**DISPOSITION SENTENCING/PENALTIES**DispositionCase EventDisposition DateFinal DispositionSequence/DescriptionOffense DispositionSectionSentencing JudgeSentence DateCredit For Time ServedSentence/Diversion Program TypeIncarceration/Diversionary PeriodStart DateSentence ConditionsLinked Offense - SentenceLink TypeLinked Docket Number**Lower Court Proceeding (generic)**

Lower Court Disposition

01/31/2007

Not Final

1 / False Report - Falsely Incriminate Another

Dismissed (Lower Court)

18§4906§\$A

Lewis, Edward M.

02/01/2007

2 / False Identification To Law Enf. Off.

Guilty Plea (Lower Court)

18§4914§\$A

Lewis, Edward M.

02/01/2007

3 / Def Tres Actual Communication To

Dismissed (Lower Court)

18§3503§\$B1I

Lewis, Edward M.

02/01/2007



**COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CARBON COUNTY****DOCKET****Docket Number: CP-13-CR-0000098-2007****CRIMINAL DOCKET****M3 Disposed by Lower Court**

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

v.

Samuel D. Strickland

Page 3 of 4

**COMMONWEALTH INFORMATION**Name: Jean A. Engler, Esq.

District Attorney

Supreme Court No: 043819Phone Number(s):

(570) 325-3000 (Phone)

(570) 325-0277 (Fax)

Address:

Carbon County District Attorney's Office

Carbon County Courthouse

PO Box 36

Jim Thorpe PA 18229

**ATTORNEY INFORMATION**Name: W. Thomas Anthony Jr., Esq.

Private

Supreme Court No: 030053Rep. Status: ActivePhone Number(s):

(610) 691-7633 (Phone)

(610) 866-4626 (Fax)

Address:

U.S. Department of Education - Office for Civil

Rights

451 Main Street

Bethlehem PA 18018

Representing: Strickland, Samuel D.

**ENTRIES**Sequence NumberCP Filed DateDocument Date

1

11/18/2006

Bail Set - Strickland, Samuel D.

Lewis, Edward M.

1

02/01/2007

M3 Disposed No Payment

Unknown Filer

**COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CARBON COUNTY****DOCKET****Docket Number: CP-13-CR-0000098-2007****CRIMINAL DOCKET****M3 Disposed by Lower Court**

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Page 4 of 4

v.

Samuel D. Strickland

**CASE FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Last Payment Date:

Total of Last Payment: \$0.00

<b>Strickland, Samuel D.</b>	<u>Assessment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>Non Monetary</u>	<u>Total</u>
Defendant				<u>Payments</u>	
<b>Costs/Fees</b>					
JCP	\$8.00	\$0.00	-\$8.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
ATJ	\$2.00	\$0.00	-\$2.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
State Court Cost (Act 204 of 1976)	\$9.70	\$0.00	-\$9.70	\$0.00	\$0.00
County Court Costs (Act 204 of 1976)	\$27.00	\$0.00	-\$27.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Commonwealth Cost - HB627 (Act 167 of 1992)	\$8.30	\$0.00	-\$8.30	\$0.00	\$0.00
Crime Victims Compensation (Act 96 of 1984)	\$35.00	\$0.00	-\$35.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Victim Witness Services (Act 111 of 1998)	\$25.00	\$0.00	-\$25.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Domestic Violence Compensation (Act 44 of 1988)	\$10.00	\$0.00	-\$10.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Postage	\$11.00	\$0.00	-\$11.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Costs/Fees Totals:	\$136.00	\$0.00	-\$136.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Fines</b>					
Crimes Code, etc.	\$300.00	\$0.00	-\$300.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Fines Totals:	\$300.00	\$0.00	-\$300.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Grand Totals:	\$436.00	\$0.00	-\$436.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

\*\* - Indicates assessment is subrogated